

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
FORT WORTH DIVISION

R'KES STARLING,

Plaintiff,

v.

No. 4:22-cv-00852-P


STERLING FINANCIAL & Co.,

Defendant.

ORDER

This action was filed in on September 22, 2022. ECF No. 1. Under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4(m), defendants must be served within 90 days after the complaint is filed. FED. R. CIV. P. 4. When a plaintiff fails to comply with Rule 4(m), the Court is required to dismiss the action. *Id.* While the 90 days have not passed, the Court issues this Order to remind Plaintiff that if it fails to file proof of service **on or before December 21, 2022**, the Court will dismiss all claims under Rule 4(m) and pursuant to Rule 41(b) for failure to prosecute. *See* FED. R. CIV. P. 4(m), 41(b); *Larson v. Scott*, 157 F.3d 1030, 1031 (5th Cir. 1998); *Long v. Simmons*, 77 F.3d 878, 880 (5th Cir. 1996); *Boudwin v. Grayston Ins. Co.*, 756 F.2d 399, 401 (5th Cir. 1985) (citation omitted).

SO ORDERED on this **13th day of December 2022**.

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Mark T. Pittman". The signature is fluid and cursive, with the first name "Mark" and last name "Pittman" being clearly legible, and the middle initial "T." in between.

Mark T. Pittman

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE